

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/108,447	07/01/1998	GERALD N. COLEMAN	97-677	2408
75	90 06/02/2005	•	EXAM	INER
KENNETH D'ALESSANDRO SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD P.O. BOX 6149			JOHNSON,	JERRY D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STATELINE, 1	NV 89449		1764	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/108,447	COLEMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
v.	Jerry D. Johnson	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 March 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	·				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,11-17 and 20</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-7, 11-17 and 20</u> is/are reject	ted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐] accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. o.g.: po, aa == ===				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the					
application from the International B		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for		t received.			
	•				
•					
Attachment(s)	A) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	(8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	6)			

Application/Control Number: 09/108,447

Art Unit: 1764

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support for the now claimed limitation that the emulsion system "being at least 76% phenol based."

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-7, 11-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 11-17 are rendered indefinite by the recitation "said emulsification system being at least 76% phenol based", i.e., it is unclear on what basis the "at least 76%" is measured. Additionally, the claims are rendered indefinite in that the specifically claimed component amounts "don't add up". For example, claim 1 requires at least 50% by weight hydrocarbon petroleum distillate (component b) and 2% by weight antifreeze (component c). Even completely ignoring component d, the maximum amount by weight of purified water (component a) then would be 48% by weight. Claim 1 cannot therefor contain 50% by weight

Application/Control Number: 09/108,447

Art Unit: 1764

purified water as the claim recites. For similar reasons, claim 1 also cannot contain 76% by weight hydrocarbon petroleum distillate.

Claim 20 improperly depends from canceled claim 18.

Applicant's arguments filed March 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that support for "said emulsification system being at least 76% phenol based" is found on page 6, line 27 to page 7 line 15.

The specification at page 6, line 27 to page 7, line 15 does not teach the now claimed limitation or disclose the amounts applicants argue are used to calculate the claimed limitation.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry D. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1448. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, M-F, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/108,447

Art Unit: 1764

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Jerry D. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764